

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 01 2008

REMARKS

Claims 19, 33-36, 38 and 44 have been amended. Claims 19, 22-36 and 38-44 remain pending in this application. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application.

The rejection of claims 19, 33 and 40 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Hakola should be withdrawn.

There is nothing in the *Hakola* reference that corresponds to having the side portions of the support base and the side walls of the cover in relation to each other such that one of them surrounds and at least partially overlaps the other. At best, the bottom edges of the side walls 48 in *Hakola's* Figure 2 sit on top of the base 2 near the side portions 26. There is no surrounding or overlapping relationship between them. Therefore, none of claims 19, 33 or 40 is anticipated and the rejection should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 19, 22, 23, 26, 33, 35, 38-40, 43 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. §103 based upon *Toshiyuki, et al.* in view of *Hakola* should be withdrawn.

Neither of the *Toshiyuki, et al.* or *Hakola* references includes having side portions of a support base and side walls of a cover in relation to each other such that one of them surrounds and at least partially overlaps the other. Therefore, even if the proposed combination were made, the result would not establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness against any of Applicants' claims. As already explained above, the *Hakola* reference does not teach such an arrangement. In *Toshiyuki, et al.*, the bottom edge of the cover 18 or 20 rests on top of the walls 8A. There is no surrounding and partially overlapping relationship between them. Nothing in either reference provides any suggestion to modify their arrangements to provide such a configuration. Therefore, even if the proposed combination could be made, the result does not establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER


MAY 01 2008

Conclusion

Applicants believe that this case is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Carlson, Gaskey & Olds



David J. Gaskey
Reg. No. 37,139
400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350
Birmingham, MI 48009
(248) 988-8360

Dated: / MAY 2008

N:\Clients\OTIS ELEVATOR\00193\PATENT\Response 4-08.doc